

Financial Reporting News

Quarterly update on financial reporting standards and developments
Issue 1 – May 2008

Table of content

HKFRs news

- 2 Revised standards on business combinations and preparation of consolidated financial statements
- 3 HKFRS 2 amendment to clarify the meaning of vesting condition
- 3 HKICPA invitations to comment on exposure drafts

IFRSs and other news

- 4 Amendment to IAS 32 departs from principles
- 4 IASB exposure drafts and discussion papers
- 6 IASB consolidation project continues to evolve
- 7 The pensions debate heats up
- 7 Large firms' joint paper on principles-based accounting

Effective dates

- 8 Effective dates of new/revised HKFRSs

Welcome to Financial Reporting News – a quarterly update from Grant Thornton. Financial Reporting News offers a summary of the more significant developments in Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards (HKFRSs) along with insights into topical issues and comments and views from Grant Thornton.

With effect from 1 January 2005, HKFRSs were fully converged with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). The Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (HKICPA) has committed to maintain convergence with IFRSs by aligning the timing of issuing Exposure Drafts, Standards and Interpretations in Hong Kong as closely as possible with the timing of issuing Exposure Drafts, Standards and Interpretations by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and by converging the content of each HKFRS with the equivalent IFRS. Against this background, included in

this newsletter is a section “IFRSs and other news”, which summarises the more significant IFRSs developments following which the HKICPA is expected to issue the HKFRSs equivalence. Accordingly you would have more time to plan your financial reporting ahead of the HKICPA’s move.

This first edition leads with the revised standards on business combinations and on preparing consolidated financial statements which will make major changes to the reporting of merger and acquisition activity, and the way to measure non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements. We then look at some other recent changes that have taken place and some that are expected to occur in the near future.

Revised standards on business combinations and preparation of consolidated financial statements

In January 2008 the IASB issued revised version of IFRS 3 “Business Combinations” (IFRS 3R) and IAS 27 ‘Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements’ (IAS 27R), marking the completion of the second stage of its business combinations project. In March 2008, the HKICPA followed the IASB and issued revised version of HKFRS 3 (HKFRS 3R) and HKAS 27 (HKAS 27R), which are word-for-word adoption of IFRS 3R and IAS 27R.

IFRS 3R is significant in that it is the first major new Standard to have been produced jointly with the US Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). Indeed, in publishing equivalent standards to IFRS 3R and IAS 27, the FASB has made fundamental changes to the way in which business combinations are accounted for in the US. The two Boards did however reach different conclusions in a few areas with the result that IFRS 3R and the equivalent new US Standard (SFAS 141 (Revised 2007)) are not identical. As HKFRS 3R is equivalent in content to IFRS 3R, this means that HKFRSs preparers which are also subject to US filing have to be aware of the differences.

The revised Standards, which are effective for combinations in accounting periods beginning on or after 1 July 2009, make significant changes to the accounting for business combinations and also address a number of gaps in the old Standards. The most

Step and partial acquisitions

Goodwill in a business combination is determined only at the acquisition date (the date when control is obtained) under HKFRS 3R.

This means that it is no longer necessary to “fair value” every asset and liability at each step in an acquisition that is achieved in stages to calculate goodwill. Goodwill is now determined once based on conditions at the acquisition date – the date control is obtained.

Related changes to HKAS 27 affect part disposals of shares in a subsidiary and purchases of shares held by non-controlling interests (previously called minority interests). These will now be accounted for as equity transactions. No income statement gain or loss will be recorded and no adjustment will be made to goodwill on such a transaction.

Acquisition costs

Acquisition costs, such as lawyers’ fees, will no longer be capable of being capitalised but must be expensed in the income statement. The intention behind the change is to increase transparency and comparability but entities will have to note the impact of the change on their reported results.

Non-controlling interests

Non-controlling interests (previously called minority interests) in the acquiree can be measured either at (i) fair value; or (ii) the proportionate interest in the identifiable net assets. If fair value is used, the effect is that 100% of the goodwill of the acquiree is recognised even if the parent’s interest in the acquiree is less than 100% (this is sometimes referred to as the “full goodwill” method).

notable changes are to the accounting for step and partial acquisitions and the treatment of acquisition costs and non-controlling interests. The text box above summarises these changes.

HKFRS 2 amendment to clarify the meaning of vesting condition

In March 2008 the HKICPA also issued the amendments to HKFRS 2 “Share-based Payment: Vesting Conditions and Cancellations”. The amendment changes the definition of vesting conditions which will be restricted to service conditions and performance conditions. It also introduces the term “non-vesting conditions”. Non-vesting conditions are requirements that are not service or performance conditions, but which have to be met in order for the counterparty (e.g. the employee) to receive the share-based payment. These conditions must be taken into account in measuring the grant date fair value of the equity instruments granted.

The amendment also requires that when either the entity or a counterparty can choose whether a non-vesting condition is met, failure to meet that non-vesting condition is to be treated as a cancellation. HKFRS 2 requires that a cancellation is accounted for as an acceleration of vesting – the amount that would have been spread over the remainder of the vesting period is expensed immediately. The amendment will therefore have a significant impact on some entities’ results.

A common example of a non-vesting condition is an employee share option scheme under which the employee

has to make regular contributions into a savings account during the vesting period. These funds are then used to exercise the options. Consequently, any such schemes (sometimes known as Save As You Earn or SAYE schemes) should be reviewed carefully for the impact of this amendment. Accounting systems may need to be amended to track the savings record of all employees in the scheme to ensure cancellations are identified and accounted for in accordance with the new requirement.

The changes are effective for accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009 and will be applied retrospectively.

HKICPA invitations to comment on exposure drafts

Following its IFRSs convergence policy, the HKICPA issues invitations to its members and other interested parties to comment on exposure drafts and discussion papers released by the IASB. When the IASB finalises these exposure drafts as IFRSs, the HKICPA converges the content of these IFRSs with HKFRSs. On page 4 of this newsletter is a list of exposure drafts recently issued by the IASB, with the HKICPA’s comment deadlines included.



Amendment to IAS 32 departs from principles

IASB acts to correct counter-intuitive results but departs from principles

In February 2008 the IASB issued an amendment to IAS 32 “Financial Instruments: Presentation” addressing particular types of financial instrument. Its effect will be to change the classification of limited types of instrument from liability to equity.

Prior to the amendment, IAS 32 required any financial instruments that the holder can require the issuer to redeem to be classified as a liability. This principle works well in most situations. However, some entities such as partnerships and co-operatives typically issue only

puttable instruments. These instruments may be redeemed for a proportionate share of the entity’s net assets and are often subordinated to other claims on the entity’s assets. Economically, these seem equity-like and the amendment aims to reflect this. Equity classification is however subject to a number of strict criteria – a careful evaluation of each instrument’s terms will be necessary.

The amendment also addresses instruments that impose on the entity an obligation to deliver a pro-rata share of the net assets of the entity only on its liquidation.

Counter-intuitive outcomes

The existing requirements of IAS 32 can lead to counter-intuitive outcomes for puttable instruments – for instance, strong financial performance by the issuer can increase the value of such an instrument so that reported liabilities and finance costs also increase. In this sense, the amendment provides relief, as such instruments will be classified as equity provided they have particular features and meet specific conditions. However these conditions essentially amount to a list of rules that is both narrowly focused and complex, and represent a departure from the principles-based standards that IFRS purports to aspire to. Our concern is that a rules-based approach is not the right direction for the future development of the Standard, and we therefore encourage the IASB to bear this in mind as it considers how to revise the Standard in light of its Discussion Paper on how to improve and simplify the current requirements.

IASB exposure drafts and discussion papers

Recently issued exposure drafts and discussion papers

Document type	Title	IASB's comment deadline	HKICPA's comment deadline
IASB proposed amendments	IFRS 1 “First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards” and IAS 27 “Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements” – Cost of an Investment in a Subsidiary, Jointly Controlled Entity or Associate (see the article below)	26 February 2008	28 January 2008
IASB proposed amendments	IFRS 2 “Share-based Payment” and IFRIC 11 “IFRS 2 Group and Treasury Share Transactions” – Group Cash-settled Share-based Payment Transactions (see the article below)	17 March 2008	25 February 2008
IFRIC Draft Interpretation	D24 Customer Contributions (see the article below)	25 April 2008	31 March 2008
IFRIC Draft Interpretation	D23 Distributions of Non-cash Assets to Owners (see the article below)	25 April 2008	31 March 2008
IASB Discussion paper	Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Equity (see the article below)	5 September 2008	4 August 2008
IASB Discussion paper	Reducing Complexity in Reporting Financial Instruments	19 September 2008	Invitation to be issued
IASB Discussion paper	Preliminary Views on Amendments to IAS 19 Employee Benefits	26 September 2008	Invitation to be issued

IASB toughens up on IFRS 2 avoidance

The IASB has published an Exposure Draft of proposed amendments to IFRS 2 “Share-based Payment” and IFRIC 11 “IFRS 2 – Group and Treasury Share Transactions” in an attempt to crack down on opportunities to structure share-based payment plans to fall outside IFRS 2’s scope.

More specifically, the proposed amendments specify the accounting by an entity that receives goods or services from its suppliers (including employees) for which the parent (or another group entity) makes cash payments that are share-based. For example:

- Arrangement 1 – the suppliers of the entity will receive cash payments from the parent that are linked to the price of the equity instruments of the entity
- Arrangement 2 – the suppliers of the entity will receive cash payments from the parent that are linked to the price of the equity instruments of the parent of the entity.

Under either arrangement, the parent of the entity has an obligation to make the required cash payments to the suppliers of the entity but the entity itself does not have any obligation to make such

payments to its suppliers or provide them with equity instruments.

The proposed amendment to IFRS 2 clarifies that an entity that receives goods or services from its suppliers must apply IFRS 2 even though the entity has no obligation to make the required share-based cash payments. In other words, a share-based payment expense is not avoided by arranging for another group entity to settle the obligation.

Accounting for customer contributions

The IFRIC has published a draft Interpretation containing proposed guidance on how to account for customer contributions.

Customer contributions are transactions in which an entity receives an asset that is used to provide access to an ongoing supply of goods or services to customers. In some cases, the asset that is received is cash that is then used to buy or construct the asset. These arrangements are common in the utilities sector in many countries. For example, a property developer might be required by law to pay for new utility infrastructure associated with new

housing developments and then donate the infrastructure assets to the utility supplier.

IFRIC D24 “Customer Contributions” addresses whether a customer contribution should be recognised as an asset and, if so, at what value. It then goes on to discuss how to account for the credit that would arise from the recognition of such an asset and how to account for a cash contribution.

Under the proposals, entities receiving contributions from customers will be required to recognise contributed assets

and revenue over the period that the relevant access is provided. Some access providers that have not previously recognised contributed assets will recognise increased property, plant and equipment and revenue. The proposals would also mean that those access providers that have recognised revenue immediately on receipt of a contributed asset would need to in future defer it over a longer period. However, as the proposal is that the final interpretation would be applied prospectively there will be no need to restate prior periods for this change in approach.

Revised proposals for determining the cost of an investment

Proposed changes to IFRS 1 “First-time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards” aim to address concerns over the difficulty of retrospectively determining the cost of a subsidiary as defined in IAS 27 on first time adoption of IFRS. This issue has been a factor in discouraging entities from adopting IFRS in their separate financial statements. The Exposure Draft is unusual in that it was developed in response to comments received on

an earlier Exposure Draft published in January 2007. The IASB’s original proposals were not seen as doing enough to rectify the problem they were intended to address.

The Exposure Draft proposes to allow an entity, at its date of transition to IFRS in its separate financial statements, to use a deemed cost to measure an investment in a subsidiary, jointly controlled entity or associate. Under the proposals an

entity may choose either the fair value or the previous GAAP carrying amount of the investment as the deemed cost of such investments.

A pragmatic solution

While there is no clear principle behind these proposals, they do offer a pragmatic solution that will encourage more entities to use IFRS in their separate financial statements. Given that the issue arises only on first time adoption of IFRS, it seems to us to be a compromise worth living with.

Distributing non-cash assets to owners

The IFRIC has published a draft Interpretation containing proposed guidance on how an entity should measure distributions of assets other than cash to its owners (sometimes referred to as “in specie dividends” or “dividends in kind”).

D23 “Distributions of Non-cash Assets to Owners” proposes that obligations to make non-cash distributions should in effect be measured based on the fair value of the assets distributed. When the distribution is made any difference between the obligation and the assets’ carrying value would be recognised in

profit or loss. The Interpretation would apply to all such distributions with the exception of distributions to another entity in the same group. The IFRIC proposes that the new rules should be applied prospectively, acknowledging the difficulty entities would have in recognising past distributions at their fair values.

IASB seeks a new approach to debt-equity classification

The IASB has taken its first due process step towards a new Standard to replace IAS 32 “Financial Instruments: Presentation” by issuing a Discussion Paper entitled “Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Equity”.

The Discussion Paper is part of a joint project between the IASB and the US Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). The FASB has led the research phase of this project, issuing its own paper which the IASB has published together with its own introduction.

The Discussion Paper has been issued in response to criticisms that the principles in IAS 32 are both difficult to apply and can result in inappropriate classification

of some financial instruments. While the IASB has responded to some of these criticisms by issuing an amendment to IAS 32 for puttable instruments and obligations arising on liquidation (see the article “Amendment to IAS 32 departs from principles” on page 4 of this newsletter) it believes there is a case for a brand new model.

The Discussion Paper discusses the advantages and disadvantages of three alternative approaches to the question of how to draw the line between equity instruments and financial liabilities.

At this early stage the FASB favours a more restrictive “basic-ownership instrument” view of equity. The IASB has not yet reached any view of its own

but has issued the Discussion Paper to solicit views on whether the FASB’s proposals are a suitable starting point for its deliberations.

The Discussion Paper can be downloaded from the IASB’s website: www.iasb.org.

Comment

As noted in our separate article on page 4 of this newsletter on the recently issued amendment to IAS 32 dealing with puttable financial instruments and obligations arising on liquidation, we do not wish to see the requirements on determining the classification of financial instruments degenerate into a list of complicated rules. We therefore encourage the IASB to ensure the development of any revised Standard is firmly based on strong principles.

IASB consolidation project continues to evolve

Started in 2003, the IASB’s consolidation project continues to progress. The goal of the project is to publish a single IFRS that will establish the control criteria to be applied to all entities, so replacing IAS 27 “Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements” and SIC 12 “Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities”

The consolidation of structured entities such as special purpose entities has been a topical issue in recent months due to the effects of the current credit crunch, and may have been a factor in the IASB’s decision to discuss a proposed approach to consolidation of such entities in its November 2007 meeting. The approach proposed in that meeting would focus

on a “single control model” as opposed to a “risks and rewards” one, although control would often be assessed through an analysis of risks and rewards.

With the Board expecting to issue a discussion paper in the second half of 2008, this project is certainly one to watch.

The pensions debate heats up

The financial reporting of pensions has been a controversial topic in recent years, even making the evening news in some countries. As the IASB looks to review its current Standard on this subject, IAS 19 “Employee Benefits”, the European Financial Reporting Advisory Group (EFRAG) and several European standard setters have published a Discussion Paper which seeks to influence the debate.

The development of the paper, “The Financial Reporting of Pensions”, has been led by the UK Accounting

Standards Board and suggests that changes in pension assets and liabilities should be reported in the period in which they arise, rather than being spread over a future period. It also proposes that the financial statements should reflect the actual return on assets, rather than the expected value as is currently required. Both proposals seek to reflect the underlying economic reality but will have the side-effect of increasing the volatility of reported results compared to the smoothing mechanisms which are currently used by many entities.

The Discussion Paper also suggests that liabilities should be measured using a risk-free rate rather than the high quality corporate bond rate that is currently required by IAS 19. This proposal may also prove unpopular with entities, as a reduced discount rate will increase the size of reported pension liabilities. The Discussion Paper is not of course an IASB document and the IASB may take a quite different direction as it develops its own views. Nevertheless the Discussion Paper can be expected to have some influence on the debate.

Large firms’ joint paper on principles-based accounting

Widespread support for a principles-based approach to standard-setting has contributed to IFRS gaining increasing acceptance around the world. Surprisingly, however, there is currently little agreement on what principles-based standards are in practice or how standards-setters should aim to deliver them.

Given the anticipated extent of changes in IFRS in the coming years, the CEOs of the largest international audit networks (including Grant Thornton International) have published a White Paper “Principles-Based Accounting Standards”. The White Paper aims to

move the debate forward by suggesting a framework to use in developing principles-based standards. The Paper also recognises that cultural and behavioural changes will need to be made by other participants in the financial reporting process if a principles-based model is to succeed.

The White Paper proposes that principles-based accounting standards should:

1. give a faithful presentation of economic reality
2. be responsive to users’ needs for clarity and transparency

3. be consistent with a clear Conceptual Framework
4. be based on an appropriately defined scope that addresses a broad area of accounting
5. be written in clear, concise and plain language
6. allow for the use of reasonable judgment

The Discussion Paper can be downloaded at: www.globalpublicpolicysymposium.com/GPPC_PBS_White_Paper.pdf

Effective dates of new/revised HKFRSs

The table below lists new/revised HKFRSs with the effective date on or later than 1 January 2007. Entities are required to make certain disclosures in respect of new/revised HKFRSs under HKAS 8 “Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors”.



Updated to end of March 2008

Title	Full title of Standard or Interpretation	Effective for accounting periods beginning on or after	Early adoption permitted?
HKAS 1	Amendment to HKAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements: Capital Disclosures	1 Jan 2007	Yes
HKFRS 7	Financial Instruments: Disclosure	1 Jan 2007	Yes
HK(IFRIC)-INT 11	HKFRS 2 – Group and Treasury Share Transactions	1 March 2007	Yes
HK(IFRIC)-INT 12	Service Concession Arrangements	1 Jan 2008	Yes
HK(IFRIC)-INT 13	Customer Loyalty Programmes	1 July 2008	Yes
HK(IFRIC)-INT 14	HKAS 19 – The Limit on a Defined Benefit Asset, Minimum Funding requirements and their Interaction	1 Jan 2008	Yes
HKFRS 8	Operating Segments	1 Jan 2009	Yes
HKAS 23	Borrowing Costs	1 Jan 2009	Yes
HKAS 1	Presentation of Financial Statements (Revised 2007)	1 Jan 2009	Yes
HKFRS 2	Amendment to HKFRS 2 Share-based Payment: Vesting Conditions and Cancellations	1 Jan 2009	Yes
HKFRS 3	Business Combinations (Revised 2008)	1 July 2009	Yes (but only for periods beginning on or after 30 June 2007 and must be applied in conjunction with HKAS 27 Revised 2008)
HKAS 27	Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements (Revised 2008)	1 July 2009	Yes (but must be applied in conjunction with HKFRS 3 Revised 2008)



About Financial reporting news

Financial reporting news is a quarterly update from Grant Thornton. This newsletter offers a summary of the more significant developments in HKFRSs along with insights into topical issues and comments and views from Grant Thornton. It contains information of a general nature and is not to be taken as a substitute for specific advice. Accordingly, Grant Thornton accepts no responsibility for any loss that occurs to any party who acts on information contained herein without further consultation with ourselves.

If you have any enquiries please notify your usual Grant Thornton contact.

www.gthk.com.hk

Published by Grant Thornton

© 2008 Grant Thornton. All rights reserved.

Grant Thornton is a member firm within Grant Thornton International Ltd (“Grant Thornton International”). Grant Thornton International and the member firm are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms independently.